

**National Highways & Infrastructure Development Corporation Limited**

**(Ministry of Road Transport & Highways, Government of India)**

**2<sup>nd</sup> Minutes of Meeting of Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC) to evaluate technical bids for “Strengthening & Upgradation of existing carriageway to intermediate lane from Km 0.000 to Km 42.820 of length 42.820Kms on Baramulla-Gulmarg section of NH-701A in the Union Territory of Jammu & Kashmir on EPC mode”.**

Date: 24.08.2020

Venue: NHIDCL, New Delhi.

Member Secretary of the committee apprised that based on the recommendation of Technical Evaluation Committee (TEC) meeting held on 13.08.2020 certain clarifications were sought twice from the following bidders vide this office letters dated 14.08.2020 & 18.08.2020 and they were asked to furnish their replies by 17.08.2020 & 20.08.2020 respectively. The detailed clarifications received from the bidders are summed up as below:

Sr.No	Description	Clarification sought	Reply from bidder	TEC Remark
1		<b>M/s Abdul Salam Mir Construction Company Pvt Ltd.</b>		
	i. Details of eligible projects as per Annex-IV: ii. Certificate as appendix-X& XI	Year-wise figures of Turnover and payments received as given in the statutory auditor's certificate could not be verified from the UDIN portal as the same is not updated in UDIN portal. It is requested to ensure that data in the certificates provided by CA should match the data in the UDIN portal. <b>Please resubmit the certificates without any modifications in figures along with the UDIN No.</b>	We are submitting all the certificates required.	<b>May be agreed.</b>
2		<b>M/s Chaudhary Construction Company Private Limited</b>		
	i. Details of eligible projects as per Annex-IV: ii. Certificate as appendix-X& XI	i. The certificates issued by Statutory Auditor are not as per the prescribed format of RFP i.e. share of the applicant is missing. ii. Year-wise figures of Turnover and payments received as given in the statutory auditor's certificate could not be verified from the UDIN portal as the same is not updated in UDIN portal. It is requested to ensure that data in the certificates provided by CA should match the data in the UDIN portal. Undertaking for unaudited financial year has been submitted but data for turnover not given for the preceding 5 years from the unaudited year as per RFP. <b>Please resubmit the certificates without any modifications in figures along with the UDIN No.</b> iii. Turnover certificate has been provided by some Chartered	i. We certify that we have executed the work as claimed project with project code “a” to “d” as a sole bidder. Certificate for the same is enclosed herewith. ii. The same is updated on UDIN portal.  iii. As the Gaurav Subhash & Co. Chartered Accountants' is merged in Praveen S. P. Gupta & co. Chartered Accountants	<b>May be agreed.</b>

		Accountant and remaining certificates have been provided by some other Chartered Accountant. Justification for the variation is requested to be provided, if any.	details enclosed. Therefore all the required statutory Auditor certificates are certified from Praveen S. P. Gupta & Co., Chartered Accountants'.	
3	<b>M/s G.P.PROJECTS LIMITED</b>			
	i. Details of eligible projects as per Annex-IV: ii. Certificate as appendix-X& XI	i. Year-wise figures of Turnover and payments received as given in the statutory auditor's certificate could not be verified from the UDIN portal as the same is not updated in UDIN portal. It is requested to ensure that data in the certificates provided by CA should match the data in the UDIN portal. <b>Please resubmit the certificates without any modifications in figures along with the UDIN No.</b> ii. Turnover and Networth certificates issued by the statutory auditor alongwith UDIN should be as per appendix X & XI of RFP without any variation in figures.	-	Clarifications not received.
4	<b>M/s Indo Engineering Project Corporation</b>			
	i. Details of eligible projects as per Annex-IV: ii. Certificate as appendix-X& XI	i. Annexure – II i.e. Technical Capacity format alongwith UDIN should be submitted without any variation in figures. ii. Year-wise figures of Turnover and payments received as given in the statutory auditor's certificate could not be verified from the UDIN portal as the same is not updated in UDIN portal. It is requested to ensure that data in the certificates provided by CA should match the data in the UDIN portal. <b>Please resubmit the certificates without any modifications in figures along with the UDIN No.</b>	-	Clarifications not received.
5	<b>M/s Lisha Engineers Private Limited</b>			
	i. Details of eligible projects as per Annex-IV: ii. Certificate as appendix-X& XI	i. The bidder has claimed the project code A under category 3. However, it is not clear how the project falls under category 3 as per clause 2.2.2.5 of RFP. Kindly clarify through substantial supporting documents. ii. Year-wise figures of Turnover and payments received as given in the statutory auditor's certificate could not be verified from the UDIN portal as the same is not updated in UDIN portal. It is requested to ensure that data in the certificates provided by CA should match the data in the UDIN portal. <b>Please resubmit the certificates without any modifications in figures along with the UDIN No.</b>	i. We are claiming the project A under category 3 because the nature of work done in the Project Code A is similar to the work for which the bid has been submitted and the road of the Project Code A is a Major District Road	i. Major district road does not fall under category 3, hence, not acceptable ii. UDIN certificate may be considered.
6	<b>M/s M.M.Shawl Engineers and Contractors Pvt. Ltd</b>			
	i. Details of	Year-wise figures of Turnover and	Find attached herewith	May be

	eligible projects as per Annex-IV: ii. Certificate as appendix-X& XI	payments received as given in the statutory auditor's certificate could not be verified from the UDIN portal as the same is not updated in UDIN portal. It is requested to ensure that data in the certificates provided by CA should match the data in the UDIN portal. <b>Please resubmit the certificates without any modifications in figures along with the UDIN No.</b>	statutory auditors certificates alongwith UDIN duly verified from website. Mismatch of Figures of turnover and payments received as given in statutory auditors certificates is due to that only two years payment can be shown in UDIN portal as confirmed from our CA also. However you can verify the same from our 26AS or CA directly.	agreed.
7	<b>M/s Mayasheel Construction</b>			
	i. Details of eligible projects as per Annex-IV: ii. Certificate as appendix-X& XI	Year-wise figures of Turnover and payments received as given in the statutory auditor's certificate could not be verified from the UDIN portal as the same is not updated in UDIN portal. It is requested to ensure that data in the certificates provided by CA should match the data in the UDIN portal. <b>Please resubmit the certificates without any modifications in figures along with the UDIN No.</b>	Please find enclosed Turnover Certificate alongwith UDIN issued by Statutory Auditor.	Turnover certificate submitted with revised UDIN, hence, acceptable.
8	<b>M/s HRCC PVT. LTD</b>			
	i. Details of eligible projects as per Annex-IV: ii. Certificate as appendix-X& XI	Year-wise figures of Turnover and payments received as given in the statutory auditor's certificate could not be verified from the UDIN portal as the same is not updated in UDIN portal. It is requested to ensure that data in the certificates provided by CA should match the data in the UDIN portal. <b>Please resubmit the certificates without any modifications in figures along with the UDIN No.</b>	Revised certificate without change in figure showing separately the year wise figures of turnover and payments is submitted herewith. The same has also been uploaded accordingly chartered accountant on UDIN.	May be agreed.
9	<b>M/s Skylark Infra Engineering Pvt. Ltd</b>			
	i. Details of eligible projects as per Annex-IV: ii. Certificate as appendix-X& XI	<p>i. Applicant's share has not been mentioned in the Details of eligible project certificate of the Statutory Auditor.</p> <p>ii. Authorisation /entrustment of the eligible project by the client to m/s Skylark Infra Engineering Pvt. Ltd. is not clear.</p> <p>v. Year-wise figures of Turnover and payments received as given in the statutory auditor's certificate could not be verified from the UDIN portal as the same is not updated in UDIN portal. It is requested to ensure that data in the certificates provided by CA should match the data in the UDIN portal. <b>Please resubmit the certificates without any modifications in figures along with the UDIN No.</b></p> <p>vi. Turnover certificate has been provided by some Chartered Accountant and remaining certificates have been provided by some other Chartered Accountant. Justification for</p>	<p>i. The project under Code A &amp; B were done on 100% back to back basis. And the same can be verified from the form 26AS and MOU executed between M/s Skylark Infra Engineering Pvt. Ltd. and M/s Ram Kripal Singh Construction Pvt. Ltd. which is already submitted. The payment received against the said projects from M/s Ram Kripal Singh Construction Pvt. Ltd. has been certified by the Statutory Auditor. The project under Code C&amp;D done for M/s NTPC Ltd. &amp; M/s MPPWD directly and the completion certificate from the client is also placed. Hence, our share for the</p>	May be agreed.

		the variation is requested to be provided, if any.	<p>said project is 100%.</p> <p>ii. The clarification sought under this is not clear to us. However, as per our understanding this clarification is pertaining to project under Code A &amp; B which we have considered for Technical Payment received. We further wish to submit that in the past same issue were raised by NHIDCL in Assam projects. The Technical result of the said project is attached herewith.</p> <p>iii. The certificates issued by CA is available on the UDIN portal and can be easily verified from the portal. The screenshot of UDIN Portal is attached herewith.</p> <p>iv. Earlier the Certificates were issued by m/s Nitish Gupta &amp; co. as they were our statutory Auditor during that period. M/s Nitish Gupta &amp; Co. resigned as SA on 23<sup>rd</sup> Dec. 2019 and M/s Lalit Ahuja &amp; co. were appointed in place of them. Therefore all certificate after 23<sup>rd</sup> Dec. 2019 were issued by M/s Nitish Gupta &amp; co. is attached herewith.</p>	
10	<b>M/s N A CONSTRUCTION PVT. LTD</b>			
	<p>i. Details of eligible projects as per Annex-IV:</p> <p>ii. Certificate as appendix-X&amp; XI</p>	<p>Year-wise figures of Turnover and payments received as given in the statutory auditor's certificate could not be verified from the UDIN portal as the same is not updated in UDIN portal. It is requested to ensure that data in the certificates provided by CA should match the data in the UDIN portal. <b>Please resubmit the certificates without any modifications in figures along with the UDIN No.</b></p>	<p>Submitted the revised UDIN certificate</p>	<p><b>May be agreed.</b></p>
11	<b>M/s United Jhelum Road Roof Builders</b>			
	<p>i. Details of eligible projects as per Annex-IV:</p> <p>ii. Certificate as appendix-X&amp; XI</p>	<p>Year-wise figures of Turnover and payments received as given in the statutory auditor's certificate could not be verified from the UDIN portal as the same is not updated in UDIN portal. It is requested to ensure that data in the certificates provided by CA should match the data in the UDIN portal. <b>Please resubmit the certificates without any modifications in figures along with the UDIN No.</b></p>	<p>Submitted the revised UDIN certificate</p>	<p><b>May be agreed.</b></p>

2. TEC discussed and deliberated on the Technical proposals and noted that only 5 (five) bidders out of total 11 (eleven) bidders meet the eligibility criteria for technical qualification as below:

Sl. No.	Name of the Bidder	Technical Capacity		Financial Capacity		Assessed Available Bid Capacity 50% of estimated project cost (Required = Rs. 57.82 Cr) (Rs. In Cr)	Remarks
		Experience Score Assessed (Required= Rs. 57.82 Cr) (Rs. in Cr)	Whether Bidder meets 15% of EPC (i.e. Rs. 17.34 Cr) required in category 1 & 3 project (Rs. in Cr)	Net Worth Assessed (Required = Rs. 5.78 Cr.) (Rs. in Cr)	Average Annual Turnover (Required Rs. 23.13 Cr) (Rs. in Cr)		
1	M/s Abdul Salam Mir Construction Company Pvt Ltd.	106.03	No Similar work	11.71	34.71	88.81	Not Eligible for Financial Opening
2	M/s Chaudhary Construction Company Private Limited	58.50	Refer Project Code "A" (Rs. 25.97 Cr)	10.38	33.33	143.09	Eligible for Financial Opening
3	M/s G.P.PROJECTS LIMITED	79.40	No Similar eligible work	9.95	31.59	171.18	Not Eligible for Financial Opening
4	M/s Indo Engineering Project Corporation	531.53 Certificate without UDIN submitted	No	46.9	245.4	306.26	Not replied the queries regarding payment received certificate issued by Statutory Auditor Not Eligible for Financial Opening.
5	M/s Lisha Engineers Private Limited	127.83	No	23.03	138.91	521.48	Not Eligible for Financial Opening
6	M/s M.M.Shawl Engineers and Contractors Pvt. Ltd	117.39	Refer Project Code "E" (Rs. 19.14 Cr)	16.13	48.27	131.26	Eligible for Financial Opening
7	M/s Mayasheel Construction	83.95	Refer Project Code "A" (Rs. 52.74 Cr)	7.75	45.78	229.36	Eligible for Financial Opening

8	M/s HRCC PVT. LTD	251.32	No	29.00	74.62	332.87	Not Eligible for Financial Opening
9	M/s Skylark Infra Engineering Pvt. Ltd	84.70	Refer Project Code "D" (Rs. 30.38 Cr)	92.14	37.57	300.12	Eligible for Financial Opening
10	M/s N A CONSTRUCTION PVT. LTD	197.09	No	51.29	136.10	367.9	Not Eligible for Financial Opening
11	M/s United Jehlum Road Roof Builders in JV with Jehlum Construction Company	UJ: 62.16 JCC: 46.96 Total: 109.11	UJ- Refer project "A" (Rs. 62.16 Cr)	UJ- 5.81 JCC- 4.56	UJ- 15.64 JCC – 15.17	UJ- 72.08 JCC – 52.70	Eligible for Financial Opening

3. The detailed reasons for not qualifying bidders are as below:

Sr. No.	Reason for declaring technically non responsive
1.	<p><b><u>M/s Abdul Salam Mir Construction Company Pvt Ltd.:</u></b> No similar work</p> <p>The bidder in his submission has submitted the details of 13 projects as eligible projects, among which the bidder has shown only two works under category 3 (which can be considered as similar work). On evaluation it was found that the project mentioned at Code A is for laying BC and does not qualify under category 3/similar work. As regard, the other having project Code I, the payment received in the last five financial year is less than the 10% of the estimated project cost and therefore cannot be considered as eligible project.</p>
2.	<p><b><u>M/s G.P.PROJECTS LIMITED:</u></b> No similar work</p> <p>The bidder in his submission has submitted the details of 3 projects as eligible projects. Also, the bidder has claimed all the three projects under category 3 whereas, as per clause 2.2.2.5 (iii) (III) of RFP can be considered only under category 4 being IRQP work. Also, the bidder has not replied to the queries sent vide letter dated 14.08.2020 &amp; 18.08.2020 regarding Turnover, network and payment received for the project. Also, the bidder has not submitted Appendix – X &amp; XI of RFP.</p>
3.	<p><b><u>M/s Indo Engineering Project Corporation:</u></b> Does not meet the Threshold Technical Capacity</p> <p>The certificate of statutory auditor submitted by the bidder showing the payment received for the project do not have the UDIN number which is mandatory. The bidder has not submitted the details/clarifications asked vide this office letters dated 14.08.2020 &amp; 18.08.2020 and hence, the project not considered for Threshold Technical Capacity.</p>
4.	<p><b><u>M/s Lisha Engineers Private Limited:</u></b> No similar work</p> <p>The bidder in his submission has submitted the details of 4 projects as eligible projects, Also, the bidder has claimed only one project under category 3 and vide replying the clarification has confirmed that the project pertains to a major district road which as per clause 2.2.2.5 of RFP can be considered only under category 4 as the project was not funded by Multilateral agency.</p>
5.	<p><b><u>M/s HRCC PVT. LTD:</u></b> No similar work</p> <p>The bidder in his submission has submitted the details of 11 projects as eligible projects, among which the bidder has shown only seven works under category 3. Out of these, six works under project code e, g, h, i, j &amp; k does not qualify as eligible project as the payment received in the last five financial years is less than 10% of the estimated project cost. Balance one project under code f is under category 4 as per clause 2.2.2.5 of RFP. The bidder has claimed the project under code I as similar work however, the payment received under this project being less than 10% of the project estimated cost cannot be considered as eligible project.</p>

6.	<b>M/s N A CONSTRUCTION PVT. LTD: No Similar work</b> The bidder in his submission has submitted the details of 4 projects as eligible projects and has claimed all the four projects under category 4. As the bidder neither has nor claimed the eligible project under category 1/3, therefore the bidder does not have any experience of similar work.
----	--

4. After due deliberations TEC agreed and recommended for opening of financial proposals of the following 5 (five) applicant firms and after obtaining approval from the Competent Authority with specific reference to para 2 above:

- i. M/s Chaudhary Construction Company Private Limited.
- ii. M/s M.M.Shawl Engineers and Contractors Pvt. Ltd.
- iii. M/s Mayasheel Construction.
- iv. M/s Skylark Infra Engineering Pvt. Ltd.
- v. M/s United Jehlum Road Roof Builders in JV with Jehlum Construction Company.

Meeting ended with vote of thanks to the Chair.

Sh. Bhaskar Mallick  
Manager (Fin.)  
(Member)

Sh. B. Shivprasad  
GM (Tech.)  
(Member Secretary)

Sh. A.K. Jha  
GM (Tech.)  
(Member)

Sh. Sanjeev Malik  
Executive Director-III  
(Chairman)